arXiv:1004.2673v1 [math.DG] 15 Apr 2010

On the Morse index of harmonic maps and minimal immersions

Mohammed Benalili and Hafida Benallal

Abstract. In this paper we are concerned with harmonic maps and minimal immersions defined on compact Riemannian manifolds and with values in homogenous strongly harmonic manifolds. We show some results on the Morse index by varying these maps along suitable conformal vector fields. We obtain also that they are global maxima on some subspaces of the eigenspaces corresponding to the nonvanishing eigenvalues of the Laplacian operator on the target manifolds.

[1]

1. Introduction

Let $(M^{\ m}, g)$ and (N^n, h) be Riemannian manifolds of dimension m and n, respectively. If we use local coordinates, the metric tensors of M^m and N^n will be written as

$$(g_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha,\beta=1,\ldots m},$$

and

$$(h_{ij})_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}.$$

The inverse metric tensor is

$$(g^{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha\beta=1,\ldots m,} = (g_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}{}_{\ \alpha,\beta=1,\ldots m,}$$

and

$$|g| = \det(g_{\alpha\beta}).$$

If $f : M^m \to N^n$ is a map of class C^1, its energy density is given by

$$e(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta}(x) h_{ij}(f(x)) \frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial f_j(x)}{\partial x_\beta} \tag{1.1}$$

---

[1] AMSC 2000: 58E20, 53C42.

Keywords: harmonic map, minimal immersion, Morse index.

in local coordinates $(x_1,..,x_m)$ on M^m and $(y_1,...,y_n)$ on N^n where the Einstein convention summation is used. Or as an intrinsic quantity of Riemannian geometry of M^m and N^n

$$e(f)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle df,df\right\rangle_{T^*M^m\otimes f^{-1}TN^n}$$

where $\left\langle .,.\right\rangle_{f^{-1}TN^n}$ is the pullback by f of the metric tensor of N^n.

Then the energy of f is simply

$$E(f)=\int_{M^m}e(f)dv_g \tag{1.2}$$

with $dv_g=\sqrt{|g|}dx_1...dx_m$ the volume element of M^m in local coordinates.

Let w be a vector field along f that means that w is a section of $f^{-1}TN^n$ the pullback of the tangent space TN^n by the map f. In local coordinates

$$w=w^i(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$$

w induces a variation of f given by

$$f_t(x)=\exp(tw)of(x).$$

Put

$$\phi_t^w=\exp(tw)$$

and

$$\psi=\phi_{t_o}^w of. \tag{1.3}$$

We get the first variation formula for the energy functional

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(f_t)\mid_{t=t_0}=-\int_{M^m}\left\langle \text{trace}\nabla d\psi,wo\psi\right\rangle_{f^{-1}TN^n}dv_g. \tag{1.4}$$

$\tau_g(\psi)$ =trace$\nabla d\psi$ is the so called tension field of ψ, where ∇ denotes the covariant derivative on the manifold N^n.

Definition 1. *The map f is called harmonic if and only if the tensor field $\tau(f)=0$. i.e. f is a critical point of the energy functional E.*

Definition 2. *The volume of an immersion f, from a Riemannian manifold (M^m,g) into (N^n,h), denoted by $V(f)$, is defined as the Riemannian volume of M^m endowed with the Riemannian metric f^*h, the pull back of the metric h.*

Definition 3. *The map f will be said minimal immersion if it satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(f_t)\mid_{t=0}=0$$

for any vector field w on N^n along f.

Many results on the Morse index of harmonic maps and minimal immersions from a compact Riemannian manifolds into the Euclidean sphere have been obtained by varying the energy functional along conformal vector fields on the Euclidean sphere. For a survey on the theory of harmonic maps and minimal immersions, we refer the reader to ([**1**], [**4**], [**9**]).

In ([**5**]), A. El Soufi shows *that for every harmonic map* f *from an* m*-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold* (M, g) *into the Euclidean sphere* S^n *which enjoys one of the following properties:*

(i) The stress-energy tensor $S^o_g(f)$ *is positive everywhere and positive definite at least at one point in* M

(ii) $S^o_g(f)$ *is positive everywhere on* M*,* f *is an immersion and* $f(M)$ *is not a totally geodesic sphere of* S^n*.*

Then the Morse index of f*,* $\quad Ind_E(f\ ) \geq n+1$.

Also he proves

Let f *be a minimal immersion from a compact* $m-$*dimensional manifold* M^m *into the sphere* S^n $(n \geq 3)$*. Then two possibilities hold:*

(i) $Ind_V(f) = n - m$ *, hence* $\phi(M^m)$ *is a totally geodesic sphere of* S^n

(ii) $Ind_V\,(f) \geq n+1$*. Where* $Ind_V\,(f)$ *stands for the Morse index of* f*.*

In this paper we extend some results on the index of harmonic maps and minimal immersions obtained in ([**5**]) to non necessary spherical cases. Mainly, if L denotes an appropriate $n+1$- dimensional subspace of the eigenspace V_λ corresponding to the nonvanishing eigenvalue λ of the Laplacian operator on the target manifold N^n and $L^\perp$ is the normal component of L, we show that the Morse index, $Ind_E(f)$, of a harmonic map f defined on a compact Riemannian manifold M^m and with values in homogenous strongly harmonic manifolds N^n fulfills $Ind_E(f) \geq \dim L$ provided that the sectional curvature K of the target manifold N^n satisfies $K \geq \kappa > 0$, where κ is a constant, the stress-energy tensor $S^o_g(f)$ is positive definite and $\lambda \leq \frac{n^2}{2}\kappa$. We also obtain that if $f: M^m \to N^n$ is a minimal isometric immersion not totally geodesic from a compact Riemannian manifold into a homogenous strongly harmonic Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with sectional curvature K satisfying $K \geq \kappa > 0$, where κ is a constant, then the Morse index, $Ind_V(f) \geq \dim(L^\perp)$ provided that λ satisfies $\lambda \leq \frac{n^2}{2}\kappa$. Finally we prove that harmonic maps whose source manifolds are compact and the target ones are homogenous strongly harmonic are global maximum on the appropriate $(n+1)$-dimensional subspace L of the eigenspace V_λ provided that the stress-energy tensor $S^o_g(f)$ is positive and isometric minimal immersions from compact manifolds into homogenous strongly harmonic ones are global maximum on the $L^\perp$.

2. Conformal vector fields on strongly harmonic manifolds

In this section we will construct conformal vector fields on strong harmonic manifolds along which we will vary the energy and the volume functionals.

2.1. Strongly harmonic manifolds. In this section, we will construct conformal vector fields by mean of gradients of the eigenfunctions to Laplacian operator on strongly harmonic manifolds.

Definition 4. *A compact Riemannian manifold* (N^n, h) *is said to be strongly harmonic(we shall say* SH*- manifold) if there exists a map* $\Xi : R_+ \times R_+^* \to R$ *with the property that the fundamental solution of the heat equation* K *on* (N^n, h) *can be written as* $K(x, y, t) = \Xi(\rho(x, y), t)$ *for every* x *and* y *in* N^n, t *in* R_+^* *and* ρ *is the distance function on* N^n.

For an eigenvalue λ_α of the Laplacian $\Delta = -div(\nabla_h)$ on (N^n, h), set $V_\alpha = \{f : \Delta f = \lambda_\alpha f\}$ the eigenspace corresponding to λ_α and $N_\alpha = \dim V_\alpha$.

Let $\{\varphi_i^\alpha\}_i$ be an orthonormal basis of V_α with respect the global scalar product $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_{N^n} \varphi\psi dv_h$ where dv_h denotes the Riemannian measure corresponding to the metric h.

We know from ([**2**]) that the fundamental solution of the heat equation K, on the manifold (N^n, h) writes as

(2.1)

$$K(x, y, t) = \sum_\alpha e^{-\lambda_\alpha t} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(x)\varphi_i^\alpha(y) \text{ for every } x, y \text{ in } N^n \text{ and } t \text{ in } R_+^*.$$

From (2.1), we deduce that the compact manifold (N^n, h) is SH if for every eigenvalue λ_α there exists a map Ξ_α: $R_+ \to R$ with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(x)\varphi_i^\alpha(y) = \Xi_\alpha(\rho(x, y)) \text{ for every } x, y \text{ in } N^n. \tag{2.2}$$

Example 1. *An important class of homogenous SH- manifold is the compact symmetric spaces of rank one which we denote by CROSS. Among the CROSS spaces we quote the real projective spaces* RP^n*, and the Euclidean spheres* S^n *(see* [**3**] *).*

Let (N^n, h) be an homogeneous SH- manifold and put for any $y \in N^n$, $\Lambda(y) = \left(\varphi_1^\alpha(y), ..., \varphi_{N_\alpha}^\alpha(y)\right)$. By the relation (2.2) the values of Λ are in the Euclidean sphere $S^{N_\alpha - 1}$ centred at the origin of R^{N_α} and of radius $(\Xi_\alpha(0) Vol(N, g))^{\frac{1}{2}}$.We quote the following lemma

Lemma 1. *(*[**3**]*)* Λ *is an immersion and its image* $\Lambda(N^n)$ *is a* n*- dimensional submanifold of the Euclidean sphere* $S^{N_\alpha - 1}(0, R)$ *in* R^{N_α} *of radius* $R = (\Xi_\alpha(0) Vol(N, g))^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

As a corollary of Lemma1, we have

Corollary 1. *For an* n*- dimensional SH- manifold every eigenvalue of the Laplacian has multiplicity greater or equal to* $n + 1$.

Since Λ is an immersion it is locally an embedding so we can choose $n+1$ points $m_1, ..., m_{n+1}$ in N^n such that their images $\Lambda(m_1), ..., \Lambda(m_{n+1})$ are linear independent positions vectors in R^{N_α}. Consider the functions

$$u_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(m_j)\varphi_i^\alpha,\ 1 \leq j \leq n+1, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\{\varphi_i^\alpha\}_i$ is an orthonormal basis of the eigenspace V_α, clearly u_j are also eigenfunctions. Put

$$\Xi_\alpha(\rho(m_j, m_k)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(m_j)\varphi_i^\alpha(m_k).$$

Now, we will state the following lemma which will be crucial in the sequel of this paper.

Lemma 2. *The matrix $(\Xi_\alpha(\rho(m_j, m_k))_{1\leq j,k\leq n+1}$ is invertible.*

Proof. Let us first show that the determinant of the matrix $(\Xi_\alpha(\rho(m_j, m_k))_{1\leq j,k\leq n+1}$ enjoys

(2.4)

$$\det\left(\Xi_\alpha(\rho(m_j, m_k))\right) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!}\sum_{i_1,i_2,...,i_{n+1}=1}^{N_\alpha} \det\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_1) & ... & \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_{n+1}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_{i_{n+1}}^\alpha(m_1) & ... & \varphi_{i_{n+1}}^\alpha(m_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}^2.$$

We proceed by recurrence. In the case $n+1=2$, the determinant of the matrix $(\Xi_\alpha(\rho(m_j, m_k))_{1\leq j,k\leq 2}$ is given by

$$\det\left(\Xi_\alpha(\rho(m_j, m_k))\right) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_1)^2 \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_{i_2}^\alpha(m_2)^2 - \left(\sum_{i_1,i_2=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_1)\varphi_{i_2}^\alpha(m_2)\right)^2$$

$$= \sum_{i_1\neq i_2} \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_1)^2\varphi_{i_2}^\alpha(m_2)^2 - 2\sum_{i_1<i_2} \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_1)\varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_2)\varphi_{i_2}^\alpha(m_1)\varphi_{i_2}^\alpha(m_2)$$

$$= \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2\leq N_\alpha} \left(\varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_1)\varphi_{i_2}^\alpha(m_2) - \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_2)\varphi_{i_2}^\alpha(m_1)\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i_1,i_2=1}^{N_\alpha} \det\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_1) & \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_2) \\ \varphi_{i_2}^\alpha(m_1) & \varphi_{i_2}^\alpha(m_2) \end{pmatrix}^2. \tag{2.5}$$

For any integer $2 \leq p < n+1$, suppose that

$$\det\left(\Xi_\alpha(\rho(m_j, m_k))\right) = \frac{1}{p!}\sum_{i_1,...,i_{p=1}}^{N_\alpha} \det\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_1) & \cdots & \varphi_{i_1}^\alpha(m_p) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_{i_p}^\alpha(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi_{i_p}^\alpha(m_p) \end{pmatrix}^2$$

We have

$$\det\left(\Xi_{\alpha}(\rho(m_j,m_k))\right)=\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p+1}} sgn(\sigma)\Xi_{\alpha}(\rho(m_1,m_{\sigma(1)})...\Xi_{\alpha}(\rho(m_{p+1},m_{\sigma(p+1)})$$

$$=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}\sum_{\sigma\in Sp+1} sgn(\sigma)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{\sigma(1)})...\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)})$$

$$=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)^2\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p+1}} sgn(\sigma)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_2)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{\sigma(2)})...\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)})$$

$$+\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}\sum_{\sigma\in Sp+1,\sigma(1)\neq 1} sgn(\sigma)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{\sigma(1)})...\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)} \tag{2.6}$$

where S_{p+1} denotes the finite cyclic group of cardinal $p+1$ and $sgn(\sigma)$ stands for the sign of the permutation σ.

By the recurrent hypothesis the first term of the right hand side of the equality (2.6) becomes

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)^2\sum_{\sigma\in S_{p+1}} sgn(\sigma)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_2)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{\sigma(2)})...\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)})=$$

$$=\frac{1}{p!}\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)^2\det\begin{pmatrix}\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{p+1})\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\end{pmatrix}^2$$

$$=\frac{1}{(p+1)!}\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)^2\left(\det\begin{pmatrix}\widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{p+1})}\\ \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{p+1})\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\end{pmatrix}^2+\cdots\right.$$

$$\left.+\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_1)^2\det\begin{pmatrix}\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{p+1})\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_p}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_p}(m_{p+1})\\ \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})}\end{pmatrix}^2\right) \tag{2.7}$$

where the writing $\widehat{x}$ means that x does not appear.

The last term of the right hand side of (2.6) writes

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}\sum_{\sigma\in Sp+1,\sigma(1)\neq 1} sgn(\sigma)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{\sigma(1)})...\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)}=$$

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)\dots\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1}) \left\{ -\varphi_{i_2(m_1)} \sum_{\sigma\in Sp+1,\sigma(1)\neq 1} sgn(\sigma)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{\sigma(1)})\dots\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)} + \cdots \right.$$

$$\left. +(-1)^p\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_1) \sum_{\sigma\in Sp+1,\sigma(1)\neq 1} sgn(\sigma)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{\sigma(1)})\dots\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)} \right\} =$$

$$= \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)\dots\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1}) \left\{ -\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1(m_1)} \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{p+1}) \\ \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{p+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1}) \end{pmatrix} + \cdots \right.$$

$$\left. +(-1)^p\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_1) \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{p+1}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1}) \\ \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})} \end{pmatrix} \right\} =$$

By the definition of the determinant, we get

$$= \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha} -\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_1) \sum_{\sigma\in S_p,\sigma(2)\neq 1} \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{\sigma(2)})\dots\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)})$$

$$\times \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{\sigma(2)}) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}\left(m_{\sigma(p+1)}\right) \\ \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{\sigma(2)})} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{\sigma(p+1)})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(2)}) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)}) \end{pmatrix} + \cdots$$

$$+\frac{1}{p!} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha} (-1)^p\, \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_1) \sum_{\sigma\in S_p,\sigma(p+1)\neq 1} \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{\sigma(2)})\dots\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)})$$

$$\times \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{\sigma(2)}) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}\left(m_{\sigma(p+1)}\right) \\ & & \\ \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_p}(m_{\sigma(2)}) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_p}(m_{\sigma(p+1)}) \\ \widehat{\varphi_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(2)})} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)})} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, by permuting the indices $(i_1, \dots, i_{p+1})$ and noting that it turns out to permute $(m_2, \dots, m_{p+1})$, we deduce

$$= \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha} -\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_1)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_1) \sum_{\sigma\in S_p,\sigma(2)\neq 1} sgn(\sigma)\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{\sigma(2)})\dots\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)})$$

$$\times \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_1}(m_{p+1}) \\ \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^{\alpha}_{i_2}(m_{p+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}(m_2)} & \cdots & \varphi^{\alpha}_{i_{p+1}(m_{p+1})} \end{pmatrix} + \cdots$$

$$+\frac{1}{p!}\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}(-1)^p\,\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_1)\varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_1)\sum_{\sigma\in S_p,\sigma(p+1)\neq 1} sgn(\sigma)\varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_{\sigma(2)})...\varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_{\sigma(p+1)})$$

$$\times\det\begin{pmatrix}\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_1}\,(m_{p+1})\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_p}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_p}(m_{p+1})\\ \widehat{\varphi_{i_{p+1}}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})}\end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\frac{1}{p!}\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}(-1)\,\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_1)\varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_1)\det\begin{pmatrix}\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_1}\,(m_{p+1})\\ \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_{p+1})}\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times\det\begin{pmatrix}\widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}\,(m_{p+1})}\\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_2(m_2)} & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_2(m_{p+1})}\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}(m_2)} & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}(m_{p+1})}\end{pmatrix}+\cdots$$

$$+\frac{1}{p!}\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}(-1)^p\,\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_1)\varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_1)\det\begin{pmatrix}\widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}\,(m_{p+1})}\\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_{p+1})\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times\det\begin{pmatrix}\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_1}\,(m_{p+1})\\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_{p+1})\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})}\end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\frac{1}{(p-1)!}\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha}(-1)\,\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_1)\varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_1)\det\begin{pmatrix}\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_1}\,(m_{p+1})\\ \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_{p+1})}\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times\det\begin{pmatrix}\widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_1}\,(m_{p+1})}\\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_2}(m_{p+1})\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1})\end{pmatrix}$$

(2.8)

$$= \frac{2}{(p+1)!} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{p+1}=1}^{N_\alpha} \sum_{1\leq j<l\leq p+1} (-1)^{j+l}\, \varphi^\alpha_{i_j}(m_1)\varphi^\alpha_{i_l}(m_1) \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_{p+1}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_l}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_l}(m_{p+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\times \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_1}(m_{p+1}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_j}(m_2)} & \cdots & \widehat{\varphi^\alpha_{i_j}(m_{p+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_2) & \cdots & \varphi^\alpha_{i_{p+1}}(m_{p+1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Reporting (2.7) and (2.8) in (2.6), we obtain the equality(2.4).

Now, Since by construction the matrix $\Big(\varphi^\alpha_j(m_k)\Big)_{\substack{1\leq j\leq N_\alpha \\ 1\leq k\leq n+1}}$ is of rank $n+1$, there is a cofactor $\Big(\varphi^\alpha_j(m_k)\Big)_{1\leq j,k\leq n+1}$ with determinant $\det\Big(\varphi^\alpha_j(m_k)\Big) \neq 0$, and from the relation (2.4), we deduce that $\det\left(\Xi_\alpha(\rho(m_j,m_k))\right) \neq 0$. □

Lemma 3. *The gradient vector fields* ∇u_j, $j = 1,\dots,n+1$ *are linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $\xi_j \in R$ be real numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_j \nabla u_j = 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_j \int_{N^n} \langle \nabla u_j, \nabla u_k\rangle\, dv_h &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_j \int_{N^n} \Delta u_j u_k dv_h \\ &= \lambda_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_j \int_{N^n} u_j u_k dv_h \\ &= \lambda_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_j \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}\sum_{l=1}^{N_\alpha} \int_{N^n} \varphi^\alpha_i(m_j)\varphi^\alpha_l(m_k) dv_h \\ &= \lambda_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_j \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi^\alpha_i(m_j)\varphi^\alpha_i(m_k) \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi^\alpha_i(m_j)\varphi^\alpha_i(m_k) = \Xi(\rho(m_j,m_k))$$

we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_j . \Xi_\alpha(\rho(m_j,m_k)) = 0$$

so if the matrix $\Xi(\rho(m_j, m_k))_{1\leq j,k\leq n+1}$ is invertible, we get that $\xi_j = 0$ for $j = 1, ..., n+1$. □

Lemma 4. *The gradient vector fields* ∇u_j, $j = 1, ..., n+1$ *are conformal vector fields on* (N^n, h).

Proof. Let X, Y any orthogonal vector fields on N^n i.e. $h(X,Y) = 0$ and $Z = \nabla u_j$. We have to show that the Lie derivative $L_Z(h\ )$ of the tensor metric h with respect to Z satisfies

$$(L_Z h)(X,Y) = 0.$$

But

$$(L_Z h)(X,Y) = h(\nabla_X Z, Y) + h(\nabla_Y Z, X)$$

$$= 2h(\nabla_X Z, Y) = 2Hess(u_j)(X,Y) \tag{2.9}$$

and since the manifold (N^n, h) is SH, there is a function Ξ_α: $R_+ \to R$ with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(x)\varphi_i^\alpha(y) = \Xi_\alpha(\rho(x,y)) \tag{2.10}$$

for every x, y in N^n.

Putting $x = y$ in (2.10) and differentiating twice we get
(2.11)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(y) d\varphi_i^\alpha(y) = 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} d\varphi_i^\alpha(y) \otimes d\varphi_i^\alpha(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(y) Hess(\varphi_i^\alpha)(y) = 0.$$

Now differentiating (2.10) twice with respect to x with y fixed we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(y) Hess(\varphi_i^\alpha)(x) = Hess(\Xi_\alpha(\rho(,y))(x) \tag{2.12}$$

and evaluating at $x = y$ we get

$$Hess(\Xi(\rho(.,y))(y) = \Xi_\alpha''(0)h.$$

By taking account of (2.11), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} d\varphi_i^\alpha(x) \otimes d\varphi_i^\alpha(x) = -\Xi_\alpha''(0)h.$$

To compute $\Xi_\alpha''(0)$, we take the traces in (2.12) and infer that

$$\Xi_\alpha''(0)(y)n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(y)\Delta\varphi_i^\alpha(y) = -\lambda_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} (\varphi_i^\alpha(y))^2$$
$$= -\lambda_\alpha \Xi_\alpha(\rho(y,y)) = -\lambda_\alpha \Xi_\alpha(0)$$

where n is the dimension of the manifold N^n.

So

$$\Xi_\alpha''(0)(y) = -\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{n}\Xi_\alpha(\rho(y,y))$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} d\varphi_i^\alpha \otimes d\varphi_i^\alpha = \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{n}\Xi_\alpha(0)h.$$

By (2.11), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(y)\left(Hess(\varphi_i^\alpha)(y) + \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{n}\varphi_i^\alpha(y)h\right) = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

On the other hand, for the functions u_j defined by (2.4) and for any $y \in N^n$, we have

$$Hess(u_j)(y) + \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{n}u_j(y)h = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(m_j)\left(Hess(\varphi_i^\alpha)(y) + \frac{\lambda}{n}\varphi_i^\alpha(y)h\right)$$

and by the homogeneity of the manifold N^n, for any $y \in N^n$ there is an isometry σ on N^n such that $\sigma(y) = m_j$, consequently

$$\sigma^*\left(Hess(u_j)\,(.) + \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{n}u_j\,(.)\,h\right)(y) = \sigma^*\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(m_j)\left(Hess(\varphi_i^\alpha)(.) + \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{n}\varphi_i^\alpha(.)h\right)\right)(y)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(m_j)\left(Hess(\varphi_i^\alpha)(m_j) + \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{n}\varphi_i^\alpha(m_j)h\right)$$

and from the relation(2.13), we get

$$Hess(u_j)(y) + \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{n}u_j(y)h = 0 \tag{2.14}$$

so by the equality(2.9), we deduce

$$(L_Z h)(X,Y) = 2Hess(u_j)(X,Y) = -2(\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{n}u_j)h(X,Y) \tag{2.15}$$

and since $h(X,Y) = 0$, we obtain

$$(L_Z h)(X,Y) = 0.$$

□

3. Harmonic maps

Let $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,m}$ be an orthonormal basis in a neighborhood of a point $x \in M^m$, we have, for the mapping ψ defined by (1.3)

$$\mathrm{trace}\nabla d\psi(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left(\nabla^{\psi^{-1}TN^n}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}} d\psi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}) - d\psi(\nabla^{M^m}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left(\nabla^{\psi^{-1}TN^n}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}} d\phi^w_{t_o} odf(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}) - d\phi^w_{t_o} odf(\nabla^{M^m}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} Hess\phi_{t_o}^{w}\left(df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right) + d\phi_{t_o}^{w}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \nabla^{f^{-1}TN^n}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}} df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right)$$

$$-d\phi_{t_o}^{w} odf \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \nabla^{M^m}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} Hess\phi_{t_o}^{w}\left(df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right) + d\phi_{t_o}^{w}\left(\tau_g(f)\right)$$

where

$$Hess\phi_{t_o}^{w}(X,Y) = \nabla_X^{(\phi_{t_o}^{w})^{-1}TN^n} d\phi_{t_o}^{w}(Y) - d\phi_{t_o}^{w}\left(\nabla_X^{N^n} Y\right).$$

So if f is harmonic i.e. $\tau_g(f) = 0$, we get

$$\tau_g(\psi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} Hess\phi_{t_o}^{w}\left(df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right). \tag{3.1}$$

3.1. Lower bound of the index. Let $\Gamma(f)$ be the space of vector fields along the map $f : M^m \to N^n$ i.e. the sections of the pulled back bundle on M^m induced by f from the tangent TN^n bundle on N^n.

The general formula of the second variation of the Energy functional in the direction of the vector fields w writes

$$\frac{d^2E(f_t)}{dt^2}\mid_{t=0} = \int_{M^m}\left(\|\nabla w\|^2_{f^{-1}TN} - trace_M\left\langle R^{N^n}(df,w)w, df\right\rangle_{f^{-1}TN^n}\right)dv_g$$

$$+\int_{M^m}\left\langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}, trace\nabla df\right\rangle_{f^{-1}TN^n} dv_g \tag{3.2}$$

and if f is harmonic, we obtain

$$\frac{d^2E(f_t)}{dt^2}\mid_{t=0} = \int_{M^m}\left(\|\nabla w\|^2_{f^{-1}TN^n} - trace_M\left\langle R^{N^n}(df,w)w, df\right\rangle_{f^{-1}TN^n}\right)dv_g. \tag{3.3}$$

For any vector field w on the target manifold N^n along f, we associate the following quadratic form

$$Q_f(w) = \frac{d^2E_g(f_t)}{dt^2}\mid_{t=0} \tag{3.4}$$

where $f_t(x) = \exp(tw)of(x)$. The Morse index of the harmonic map f is defined as the integer

$$Ind(f) = Sup\left\{\dim F; F \subset \Gamma(f) \text{ such that } Q_f \text{ is negative defined on } F\right\}.$$

Now let $S_g(f)$ be the stress-energy tensor introduced by Baird and Eells (see [1]).

$$S_g(f) = e_g(f)g - f^*h \tag{3.5}$$

For every $x \in M^m$, we put

$$S_g^o(f)(x) = Inf\left\{S_g(f)(X,X) : X \in T_xM^m \text{ and } g(X,X) = 1\right\}.$$

The tensor will be said positive at x (resp. positive definite) if we have $S^o_g(f)(x) \geq 0$ (resp. $S^o_g(f)(x) > 0$).

First we state the following theorem

Theorem 1. *Let (M^m, g) be a Riemannian compact $m-$dimensional manifold and (N^n, h) be a homogenous strongly harmonic Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with sectional curvature K satisfying $K \geq \kappa > 0$ where κ is a positive constant. Let $f : M^m \to N^n$ be a non constant harmonic map. Suppose that the stress-energy tensor of f is positive definite everywhere on M^m and the nonvanishing eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator λ satisfies $\lambda \leq \frac{n^2}{2}\kappa$. Then the index of f , $Ind_E(f) \geq \dim L$, where L is a $n+1$ -dimensional subspace of the eigenspace V_λ corresponding to λ.*

Proof. At each point $x \in M^m$, we denote respectively by $w^T(x)$ and $w^\perp(x)$ the tangential and the normal projections of $w(x)$ on the space $df(T_xM)$ and $df(T_xM^m)^\perp$. Let $\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis of T_xM^m which diagonalizes f^*h such that $\{df(e_1), ..., df(e_l)\}$ be a basis of $df(T_xM^m)$. If $e_g(f)(x) \neq 0$, then at the point x we have

$$\left\|w^T(x)\right\|_h^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \|df\|_h^{-2} \langle w(x), df(e_i)\rangle_h^2$$

on the other hand we have for each $i \leq l$

$$\begin{aligned}\|df(e_i)(x)\|_h^2 &= e_g(f)(x) - S_g(f)(x)(e_i, e_i)\\ &\leq e_g(f)(x) - S^o_g(f)(x).\end{aligned}$$

So we deduce that

$$\left(e_g(f) - S^o_g(f)\right)(x) \left\|w^T(x)\right\|_h^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{l} h\left(w(x), df(e_i)\right)^2$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} h\left(w(x), df(e_i)\right)^2 - e_g(f) \left\|w^T(x)\right\|_h^2 \leq -S^o_g(f)(x) \left\|w^T(x)\right\|_h^2$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{l} h\left(w(x), df(e_i)\right)^2 - e_g(f) \|w(x)\|_h^2\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{l} h\left(w(x), df(e_i)\right)^2 - e_g(f) \left\|w^T(x)\right\|_h^2 - e_g(f) \left\|w^\perp(x)\right\|_h^2\\ &\leq -S^o_g(f)(x) \left\|w^T(x)\right\|_h^2 - e_g(f) \left\|w^\perp(x)\right\|_h^2\\ &\leq -S^o_g(f)(x) \|w(x)\|_h^2 .\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} h\left(w(x), df(e_i)\right)^2 - e_g(f)\left\|w(x)\right\|_h^2 \leq -S_g^o(f)(x)\left\|w(x)\right\|_h^2 . \tag{3.6}$$

Now we let

$$w_j(x) = -gradu_j(f(x))$$

where $u_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i^\alpha(m_j)\varphi_i^\alpha$ is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian operator on N^n defined previously by (2.3). Then

$$\left\|df\right\|_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n}^2 \left\|gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left\langle df(e_i), gradu_j\right\rangle_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n}^2$$

$$\geq S_g^o(f)\left\|gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n}^2 + e_g(f)\left\|gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n}^2 .$$

So, we have

$$\left\|\nabla gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}TN^n}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left\langle R^{N^n}(df(e_i), gradu_j)df(e_i), gradu_j\right\rangle_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n} \leq$$

$$\left\|\nabla gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}TN^n}^2 - \kappa\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(\left\|df(e_i)(x)\right\|_h^2\left\|gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n}^2 - \left\langle df(e_i), gradu_j\right\rangle_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n}^2\right) \leq$$

$$\left\|\nabla gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}TN^n}^2 - \kappa\left(e_g(f)\left\|gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n}^2 + S_g^o(f)\left\|gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n}^2\right).$$

Since

$$\left\|\nabla gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}TN^n}^2 = g^{\alpha\beta}\left\langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}} gradu_j, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}} gradu_j\right\rangle_{f^{-1}\not{T}N^n}$$

$$= g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial f^k}{\partial x_\beta}\left\langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial f^i}} gradu_j, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial f^k}} gradu_j\right\rangle_h$$

$$= g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial f^k}{\partial x_\beta} hess(u_j(f(x)))\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial f^i}, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial f^k}} gradu_j\right\rangle_h$$

where the Einstein convention summation is used,and taking account of the formula(2.14)

$$= -g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial f^k}{\partial x_\beta}\frac{\lambda}{n}u_j(f(x))\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial f^i}, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial f^k}} gradu_j\right\rangle_h$$

$$= g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial f^k}{\partial x_\beta}\frac{\lambda^2}{n^2}u_j^2 h_{ik} = 2\frac{\lambda^2}{n^2}e_g(f)u_j^2.$$

On the other hand, we get from formula (2.11)

$$\int_{M^m}\left\|gradu_j\right\|_{f^{-1}TN^n}^2 dv_g = \int_{M^m}\left\|du_j\right\|_{f^{-1}TN^n}^2 dv_g = \int_{M^m} trace(du_j \otimes du_j)(f(x))dv_g$$

$$= \lambda\int_{M^m} u_j^2(f(x))dv_g$$

so

$$\int_{M^m} \|\nabla gradu_j\|^2_{f^{-1}TN^n}\, dv_g = 2\frac{\lambda}{n^2}\int_{M^m} e_g(f)\,\|gradu_j\|^2_h\, dv_g. \tag{3.7}$$

Consequently

$$\int_{M^m}\left(\|\nabla gradu_j(f(x))\|^2_h - \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle R^{N^n}(df(e_i), gradu_j)df(e_i), gradu_j(f(x))\right\rangle_h\right) dv_g \leq$$

$$\int_{M^m}\left(2\frac{\lambda}{n^2}e_g(f)\,\|gradu_j(f(x))\|^2_h - \kappa(e_g(f)\,\|gradu_j(f(x))\|^2_h + S^o_g(f)\,\|gradu_j(f(x))\|^2_h)\right) dv_g =$$

$$(2\frac{\lambda}{n^2}-\kappa)\int_{M^m} e_g(f)\,\|gradu_j(f(x))\|^2_h\, dv_g - \kappa\int_{M^m} S^o_g(f)\,\|gradu_j(f(x))\|^2_h\, dv_g$$

Hence

$$\frac{d^2E(f_t)}{dt^2}\mid_{t=0}\leq$$

(3.8)

$$\int_{M^m} e_g(f)(2\frac{\lambda}{n^2}-\kappa)\,\|gradu_j(f(x))\|^2_h\, dv_g - \kappa\int_{M^m} S^o_g(f)(x)\,\|gradu_j(f(x))\|^2_h\, dv_g$$

which shows that if the eigenvalue λ of the Laplacian Δ_{N^n} operator on N^n satisfies

$$\lambda \leq \frac{n^2\kappa}{2}$$

then

$$\frac{d^2E(f_t)}{dt^2}\mid_{t=0}\leq -\kappa\int_{M^m} S^o_g(f)(x)\,\|gradu_j(f(x))\|^2_h\, dv_g$$

so

$$Ind_E(f) \geq \dim L$$

where L is the $n+1$ -dimensional subspace spanned by the gradient vector fields $gradu_j$, $j = 1, ..., n+1$. □

4. Harmonic map as a global maximum

In this section, we prove the following global theorem

Theorem 2. *Let (M^m, g) be a compact Riemannian $m-$dimensional manifold, (N^n, h) be a homogenous strongly harmonic Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. If the stress-energy tensor of the harmonic map $f : M^m \to N^n$ is positive everywhere on M^m, then the map f is a global maximum of the energy functional on the $n+1$- dimensional subspace L of the eigenspace V_λ corresponding to a nonvanishing eigenvalue λ of the Laplacian operator on the target manifold.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\nabla}^{N}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $\left(N^n, (\phi^w_{t_o})^* h\right)$, and $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1,...,m}$ be an orthonormal basis in a neighborhood of a point $x \in M^m$ which diagonalizes f^*h and such that ($df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}), ..., df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l})$) is a basis of $df(T_x M)$). Then

$$d\phi^w_{t_o}(\widetilde{\nabla}^{N^n}_X Y) = \nabla^{N^n}_{d\phi^w_{t_o}(X)} d\phi^w_{t_o}(Y)$$

where for simplicity $w = w_j$ defined previously as minus the gradient of the eigenfunction u_j given by (2.3), so

$$\tau_g(\psi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} Hess\phi^w_{t_o}\left(df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} d\phi^w_{t_o}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{f^{-1}TN^n}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}} df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}) - \nabla^{f^{-1}TN^n}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}} df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right).$$

Since w is a conformal infinitesimal transformation i.e. $(\phi^w_{t_o})^* h = e^{2\sigma} h$, where σ is some function on the manifold N^n, the conformal change of connections is then given by: for any vector field X, Y on the manifold N^n

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y = X(\sigma)Y + Y(\sigma)X - \langle X, Y\rangle_h \nabla\sigma$$

where $\langle ., .\rangle_h = h$ and $\nabla\sigma$ is the gradient vector field of the function σ. Hence

$$\tau_g(\psi) = d\phi^w_{t_o}\left(2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left\langle \nabla\sigma of, df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right\rangle_h df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right\rangle_h \nabla\sigma of\right)$$

$$= 2d\phi^w_{t_o}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left\langle \nabla\sigma of, df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right\rangle_h df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}) - e_g(f).\nabla\sigma of\right)$$

where $\psi = \phi^w_{t_o} of$.

Consequently

$$\int_M \langle \tau_g(\psi), wo\psi\rangle_h \, dv_g =$$

$$= 2\int_M (\phi^w_{t_o})^* \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left\langle \nabla\sigma of, df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right\rangle_h \left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}, wof\right\rangle_h - e_g(f)\langle \nabla\sigma of, w\rangle_h\right) dv_g$$

$$= 2\int_M e^{2\sigma of(x)}\left\{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left\langle \nabla\sigma of, df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right\rangle_h \left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), wof\right\rangle_h - e_g(f)\langle \nabla\sigma of, wof\rangle_h\right\} dv_g$$

Now we compute the gradient of the function σ to get

$$\langle \nabla\sigma of, wof\rangle_h (x) = \frac{\left\langle \nabla_{wof} w(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x)), w(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x))\right\rangle_h}{\left\|w(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x))\right\|^2_h} - \frac{\langle \nabla_{wof} w(f(x)), w(f(x))\rangle_h}{\|w(f(x))\|^2_h}$$

$$= -\frac{Hess(u(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x)))\left\langle w(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x)), w(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x))\right\rangle_h}{\left\|w(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x))\right\|^2_h} + \frac{Hess(u(f(x)))\langle w(f(x)), w(f(x))\rangle_h}{\|w(f(x))\|^2_h}.$$

Using the inequality(2.14), we get that

$$\langle \nabla \sigma o f, w o f\rangle_h (x) = \frac{\lambda}{n}\left(u(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x)) - u((f(x))\right)$$

and also, we have

$$\left\langle \nabla \sigma o f, df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right\rangle_h (x) =$$

$$-\frac{Hess(u(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x)))\left\langle \left(\phi^w_{t_0}\right)_* df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x))\right\rangle_h}{\left\|w(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x))\right\|_h^2} + \frac{Hess(u(f(x)))\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w(f(x))\right\rangle_h}{\|w(f(x))\|_h^2}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{n}\left(u(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x))\frac{\left\langle \left(\phi^w_{t_0}\right)_* df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x))\right\rangle_h}{\left\|w(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x))\right\|_h^2} - u((f(x))\frac{\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w(f(x))\right\rangle_h}{\|w(f(x))\|_h^2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{n}\left(u(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x)) - u((f(x))\right)\frac{\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w(f(x))\right\rangle_h}{\|w(f(x))\|_h^2}$$

consequently

$$\left\langle \nabla \sigma o f, df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right\rangle_h (x)\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w o f\right\rangle_h (x) = \frac{\lambda}{n}\left(u(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x)) - u((f(x))\right)\frac{\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w(f(x))\right\rangle_h^2}{\|w(f(x))\|_h^2}$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left\langle \nabla \sigma o f, df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right\rangle_h (x)\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w o f\right\rangle_h (x) - e_g(f)\langle \nabla \sigma o f, w o f\rangle_h (x) =$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{n}\frac{\left(u(\phi^w_{t_0}(f(x)) - u((f(x))\right)}{\|w(f(x))\|_h^2}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w(f(x))\right\rangle_h^2 - e_g(f)\|w(f(x))\|_h^2\right).$$

Now, if at each point $x \in M^m$, $w^T(x)$ and $w^\perp(x)$ denote the tangential and the normal projections of $w(x)$ on the space $df(T_xM)$ and $df(T_xM^m)^\perp$ and $S^o_g(f)$ is the stress-energy tensor of f, we have

$$\left(e_g(f) - S^o_g(f)\right)\left\|w^T(f(x))\right\|_h^2 = \left(e_g(f) - S^o_g(f)\right)\sum_{\alpha=1}^{l}\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), \frac{w(f(x))}{\left\|df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha})\right\|_h}\right\rangle_h^2$$

$$\geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{l}\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w(f(x))\right\rangle_h^2$$

so

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w(f(x))\right\rangle_h^2 - e_g(f)\|w(f(x))\|_h^2 \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^{m}\left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}), w(f(x))\right\rangle_h^2 - S^o_g(f)\left\|w^T(f(x))\right\|_h^2 - e_g(f)\left\|w^\perp(f(x))\right\|_h^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^{m} \left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}), w(f(x)) \right\rangle_h^2 + \left(e_g(f) - S_g^o(f)\right) \left\| w^T(f(x)) \right\|_h^2 - e_g(f) \left\| w(f(x)) \right\|_h^2$$

and since $S_g^o(f) \leq e_g(f)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}), w(f(x)) \right\rangle_h^2 - e_g(f) \left\| w(f(x)) \right\|_h^2 \leq -S_g^o(f) \left\| w(f(x)) \right\|_h^2$$

and since the vector field w is minus the gradient of the eigenfunction u corresponding to eigenvalue λ, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mid_{t=t_o} u(\phi_t^w(f(x)) = - \left\| w(\phi_{t_0}^w(f(x)) \right\| \leq 0$$

that is the function $t \to u(\phi_t^w(f(x))$ is decreasing.

Consequently

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \left\langle \nabla \sigma o f, df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}) \right\rangle_h (x) \left\langle df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}), w o f \right\rangle_h (x) - e_g(f) \left\langle \nabla \sigma o f, w o f \right\rangle_h (x) \geq$$

$$-\frac{\lambda}{n} \left(u(\phi_{t_0}^w(f(x)) - u((f(x))) \right) S_g^o(f)$$

and finally we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(f_t) \mid_{t=t_0} = -\int_{M^m} \left\langle \tau_g(\psi), w o \psi \right\rangle_{f^{-1}TN} dv_g$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda}{n} \int_{M^m} e^{2\sigma o f(x)} \left(u(\phi_{t_0}^w(f(x)) - u((f(x))) \right) S_g^o(f) dv_g$$

So if the stress-energy tensor $S_g^o(f)$ is positive, the energy functional is decreasing that means that the harmonic map f is a global maximum of the energy functional $E(.)$. □

Let $Isom(S^n)$ and $Conf(S^n)$ be respectively the isometric and the conformal Lie group on the standard unit sphere S^n. Denote by $conf(S^n)$ the Lie algebra of $Conf(S^n)$ and let w be the negative gradient of the eigenfunction $u_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \varphi_i(m_j)\varphi_i$ corresponding to a non vanishing eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the S^n, given by (2.4), we have

Corollary 2. *If the target manifold is the standard unit n- sphere S^n $(n \geq 3)$ endowed with canonical metric then for every $w \in conf(S^n)$*

$$E(w o f) \leq E(f)$$

provided that the stress-energy tensor is positive.

Proof. We know that

$$\dim(Conf(S^n)/Isom(S^n)) = n + 1.$$

Since the eigenfunctions, corresponding to the first non zero eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = n$ of the Laplacian operator on the standard n- sphere S^n, are the restrictions of the linear forms on the Euclidean space R^{n+1} to S^n and their gradients are conformal vector fields, it follows that the set of these gradients is nothing than $conf(S^n)$ and by Theorem2, we get for every $w \in conf(S^n) : E(wof) \leq E(f)$. □

Remark 1. *In the particular case where the target manifold is the standard n- sphere, we have* $\dim V_\lambda = n+1$. *So we get the result in (*[**5**]*).*

5. Minimal Immersions

5.1. Morse index of minimal immersions. With notations of the previous section, if the manifold M^m is a minimal submanifold of the manifold N^n, the second variation with respect to the vector field w along f is given by the following integral

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} V(f_t) \mid_{t=0} =$$

$$= \int_{M^m} \left(\left\| \nabla^{f^{-1}TN^n} wof \right\|_h^2 - \left\| \sigma(wof) \right\|_h^2 - trace_{M^m} \left\langle R^{N^n}(df, wof)wof, df \right\rangle_h \right) dv_g$$

where

$$trace_{M^m} \left\langle R^{N^n}(df, w)w, df \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\langle R^{N^n}(df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}), w)w, df(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) \right\rangle_h$$

and $\sigma(w)$ denotes the second fundamental form relative to w.

To the immersion f, we assign the quadratic form associated to the second variation of the volume functional defined on $\Gamma(f)$ by

$$H_f(w) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} V(f_t) \mid_{t=0}$$

and since this latter depends only on the normal component of elements of $\Gamma(f)$, we consider only the restriction of H_f to the normal projection $\Gamma^{N^n}(f)$ of the space $\Gamma(f)$. The Morse index of f, denoted $Ind_V(f)$, is defined as the dimension of the maximal subspace of $\Gamma^N(f)$ on which H_f is negative-definite.

In this section, we state the following theorem

Theorem 3. *Let* (M^m, g) *be a Riemannian compact m- dimensional manifold,* (N^n, h) *be a homogenous strongly harmonic Riemannian manifold of dimension* $n \geq 3$ *with sectional curvature* K *satisfying* $K \geq \kappa > 0$*, where* κ *is a constant,* $f : M^m \to N^n$ *be a minimal isometric immersion not totally geodesic and* λ *be a non vanishing eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator on the target manifold* N^n*. Suppose that* λ *satisfies* $\lambda \leq \frac{n^2}{2}\kappa$*. Then* $Ind_V(f) \geq \dim(L^\perp)$ *where* $L^\perp$ *denotes the normal component of an* $n+1$*-dimensional subspace* L *of the eigenspace* V_λ *corresponding to* λ*.*

Proof. $H_f(w)$ can be written as

$$H_f(w) = \int_{M^m} \left(\left\| \nabla^{f^{-1}TN^n} w^\perp of \right\|_h^2 - trace_{M_m} \left\langle R^{N^n}(df, w^\perp of)w^\perp of, df \right\rangle_h \right) dv_g$$

$$-\int_M \left\|\sigma(w^{\perp}of)\right\|^2 dv_g.$$

where $w=-gradu_j$ and $w^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal projection of w on $df(T_xM^m)$. Now taking account of formula(3.7), we obtain

$$H_f(w)=\int_M 2e_g(f)\left(\frac{\lambda}{n^2}-\frac{1}{2}\kappa\right)\left\|w^{\perp}of\right\|_h^2 dv_g-\int_M \left\|\sigma(w^{\perp}of)\right\|_h^2 dv_g.$$

so, since

$$\lambda\leq\frac{\kappa n^2}{2}$$

and

$$\sigma(w^{\perp})\neq 0$$

we obtain

$$H_f(w)<0.$$

□

5.2. Minimal Immersion as a global maximum.

In this subsection, we establish the following global theorem

Theorem 4. *Let (M^m,g) be a Riemannian $m-$dimensional compact manifold, (N^n,h) be a strongly harmonic Riemannian manifold of dimension $n\geq 3$. If $f:M^m\to N^n$ is a minimal isometric immersion, then f is a global maximum of the volume functional on the normal component $L^{\perp}$ of an $n+1$- dimensional subspace L of the eigenspace V_λ corresponding to a nonvanishing eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator λ on the target manifold.*

Proof. Let $w=-gradu_j$, where u_j is the eigenfunction of the Laplacian operator on N^n given in section2 by (2.3). Let $\psi=\phi_t^w of$ where ϕ_t^w denotes the flow generated by the vector field w. The first variation formula reads as

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(f_t)=-\int_{\psi(M)}\left\langle H_{f(x)}^{\psi(M^m)},w(f(x))\right\rangle_h dv_g$$

$$=-\int_{M^m}\left\langle H_{\psi(x)}^{\psi(M^m)},w(\psi(x))\right\rangle_h e^{m\sigma}dv_g$$

where $H^{\psi(M^m)}$ denotes the mean curvature of the submanifold $\psi(M^m)$ in N^n . The variation depends only on the normal component $w^{\perp}$ of the vector field w. Let $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},...,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis at the point $x\in M$, then

$$\left\langle H_{\psi(x)}^{\psi(M^m)},w(\psi(x))\right\rangle_h=\sum_{i=1}^m\left\langle\nabla^{N^n}_{\psi_*\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}}\psi_*\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},w^{\perp}(\psi_t(x))\right\rangle_h.$$

Since $\phi_t^w:(N^n,e^{2\sigma}h)\to(N^n,h)$ is an isometry, it follows that

$$(\phi_t^w)^*(\bar{\nabla}^{N^n}_{f_*\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}}f_*\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})=\nabla^{N^n}_{(\psi_t^w)_*\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}}(\psi_t^w)_*\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}^{N^n}$ is the connection on the manifold $(N^n, e^{2\sigma}h)$ and $\psi^w = \phi_t^w of$. From the conformal change of connections formula, we obtain

$$\left\langle H_{\psi(x)}^{\psi(M^m)}, w(\psi(x)) \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\phi_t^w)^* \left\langle \bar{\nabla}^{N^n}_{f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}} f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, w^{\perp}(f(x)) \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\phi_t^w)^* \left\langle \nabla^{N^n}_{f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}} f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + 2 \left\langle f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, grad(\sigma) of \right\rangle f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right.$$

$$\left. - \left\langle f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right\rangle grad(\sigma) of, w^{\perp} of \right\rangle$$

and since $\left\langle f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, w^{\perp} of \right\rangle = 0$, we obtain

$$\left\langle H_{\psi(x)}^{\psi(M^m)}, w(\psi(x)) \right\rangle = (\phi_t^w)^* \left\langle H_{f(x)}^{f(M^m)} - m grad(\sigma) of, wof \right\rangle$$

$$= -m \, (\phi_t^w)^* \left\langle grad(\sigma) of, wof \right\rangle, \text{ since } f \text{ is minimal.}$$

Consequently

$$\frac{d}{dt} \, |_{t=t_o} \, V(f_t) = m \int_{M^m} e^{2\sigma of(x)} \left\langle grad(\sigma) of, wof \right\rangle dv_g; \qquad t_o > 0$$

and taking into account the relation (2.14)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \, |_{t=t_o} \, V(f_t) = \frac{m\lambda}{n} \int_{M^m} e^{2\sigma of(x)} \left(u(\phi_{2t_0}^w(f(x)) - u(\phi_{t_0}^w f(x)) \right) dv_g.$$

Since the vector field w is minus the gradient of the eigenfunction u_j, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_j(\phi_t^w of(x))_{t=t_o} = - \left\| w \left(\phi_{t_o}^w (f(x)) \right) \right\|_h^2 \leq 0$$

so $\frac{d}{dt} V(f_t) \leq 0$ that is to say

$$V(f_t) \leq V(M^m).$$

$\square$

References

[1] Baird, P., Eells, J., A conservation law for harmonic maps, Lecture Notes in Math. 894 (1981), 1-25.

[2] Berger, M., Gauduchon, P., Mazet, E. : Le spectre d'une variété Riemannienne. Lecture Notes Vol. 194. Berlin-Heidelberg-New-York: Spinger 1971.

[3] Besse, A. L., Manifolds all of whose Geodesics are Closed. Berlin-Heidelberg-New-York: Spinger 1978.

[4] J. Eells, L. Lemaire, A report on harmonic maps. Bull. London Math. Soc. 10 (1978) 1-68.

[5] El Soufi, A., Applications harmoniques, immersions minimales et transformations conformes de la sphère, compo.Math. 85 (1993), 281-298.

[6] El Soufi, S. Ilias, Riemannian manifolds admitting isometric immersion by their first eigenfunctions. Pacific J. Math,, 195 (2000) 91-99.

[7] Karcher, H. Riemannian Comparison construction, Preprint Bonn 1987.

[8] Leug, P.F., On the stability of harmonic maps, Lecture Notes in Math. 949(1980),122-129.

[9] Simons,J., Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, Ann. of Math. 88(2) (1968),62-105.

University AbouBakr Belkaid, Faculty of Sciences, Dept. of Mathematics, B.P. 119, Tlemcen Algeria
E-mail address: `m_benalili@mail.univ-tlemcen.dz`

E-mail address: `hafedabenallal@yahoo.fr`